| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/041,826 | YERXA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Patrick T. Lewis | 1623 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Patrick T. Lewis</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Viola Kung</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 21 December 2004 | Time: <u>12:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 3, 5, and 6 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Ms. Kung authorized the cancellation of claims 3, 5, and 6 by ex | | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | - |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Applican (Applican | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |
| - , | • | |